

OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT

BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

NOVEMBER 2, 2004

- TO VOTE, COMPLETELY FILL IN THE OVAL  NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE.
- Use a black or blue pen (not red) or a black pencil to mark your ballot.
- If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.
- To vote for a candidate whose name is not printed on the ballot, fill in the oval, and write in the candidate's name on the blank line provided for a write-in candidate.

PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT	NONPARTISAN	PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS OR OTHER PUBLIC MEASURES
(Vote for One)	DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL	NO. 1 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 22 Article X Miscellaneous Section 22. Parental notice of termination of a minor's pregnancy. The legislature shall not limit or deny the privacy right guaranteed to a minor under the United States Constitution as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court. Notwithstanding a minor's right of privacy provided in Section 23 of Article I, the Legislature is authorized to require by general law for notification to a parent or guardian of a minor before the termination of the minor's pregnancy. The Legislature shall provide exceptions to such requirement for notification and shall create a process for judicial waiver of the notification. ☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ George W. Bush Dick Cheney REP	Shall Judge Jacqueline R. Griffin of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? ☐ YES ☐ NO	
☐ John F. Kerry John Edwards DEM	Shall Judge David A. Monaco of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? ☐ YES ☐ NO	
☐ Michael A. Peroutka Chuck Baldwin CPF	Shall Judge Earle W. Peterson, Jr. of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? ☐ YES ☐ NO	
☐ Michael Badnarik Richard V. Campagna LIB	Shall Judge Winifred J. Sharp of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? ☐ YES ☐ NO	
☐ David Cobb Patricia LaMarche GRE		
☐ James Harris Margaret Trowe SWP		
☐ Walter F. Brown Mary Alice Herbert SPF		
☐ Ralph Nader Peter Miguel Camejo REF		
CONGRESSIONAL	Shall Judge Vincent G. Torpy, Jr. of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? ☐ YES ☐ NO	NO. 2 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE IV, SECTION 10 ARTICLE XI, SECTION 5 Constitutional Amendments Proposed by Initiative Proposing amendments to the State Constitution to require the sponsor of a constitutional amendment proposed by citizen initiative to file the initiative petition with the Secretary of State by February 1 of the year of a general election in order to have the measure submitted to the electors for approval or rejection at the following November's general election, and to require the Florida Supreme Court to render an advisory opinion addressing the validity of an initiative petition by April 1 of the year in which the amendment is to be submitted to the electors. ☐ YES ☐ NO
UNITED STATES SENATOR	MEMBER SCHOOL BOARD	
(Vote for One)	DISTRICT 3	
☐ Mel Martinez REP	(Vote for One)	
☐ Betty Castor DEM	☐ Amy Kneessy	
☐ Dennis F. Bradley VET	☐ Rich Wilson	
☐ _____ Write-in		
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	SEBASTIAN INLET DISTRICT	
15TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	COMMISSIONER SEBASTIAN INLET	
(Vote for One)	DISTRICT, DISTRICT 1	
☐ Dave Weldon REP	(Vote for One)	
☐ Simon Pristoop DEM	☐ Jenny Lawton Seal	
COUNTY	☐ David A. Pasley	
SHERIFF	COMMISSIONER SEBASTIAN INLET	
(Vote for One)	DISTRICT, DISTRICT 5	
☐ Jack Parker REP	(Vote for One)	
☐ Bob Sarver DEM	☐ Jim Culberson	
☐ _____ Write-in	☐ Bob Hartman	
PROPERTY APPRAISER	☐ Sherri Quarrie	
(Vote for One)	BAREFOOT BAY MOBILE HOME PARK	
☐ Jim Ford REP	RECREATION DISTRICT	
☐ Penny Farrar DEM	TRUSTEE, GROUP 1	
TAX COLLECTOR	(Vote for One)	
(Vote for One)	☐ Joseph B. Klosky	
☐ Joan Needelman REP	☐ Wilma C. Weglein	
☐ Rod Northcutt DEM	TRUSTEE, GROUP 9	
MEMBER BOARD OF COUNTY	(Vote for One)	
COMMISSIONERS, DISTRICT 3	☐ Harry R. Bailey	
(Vote for One)	☐ Bill Helmerich	
☐ Helen Voltz REP		
☐ Jane Anne Havet DEM		
NONPARTISAN		
SUPREME COURT		
Shall Justice Kenneth B. Bell of the Supreme Court be retained in office?		
☐ YES		
☐ NO		
Shall Justice Raoul G. Cantero, III of the Supreme Court be retained in office?		
☐ YES		
☐ NO		

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT

PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS OR OTHER PUBLIC MEASURES	PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS OR OTHER PUBLIC MEASURES	BREVARD COUNTY
NO. 4 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 19 Authorizes Miami-Dade and Broward County Voters to Approve Slot Machines in Paramutuel Facilities Authorizes Miami-Dade and Broward Counties to hold referenda on whether to authorize slot machines in existing, licensed parimutuel facilities (thoroughbred and harness racing, greyhound racing, and jai alai) that have conducted live racing or games in that county during each of the last two calendar years before effective date of this amendment. The Legislature may tax slot machine revenues, and any such taxes must supplement public education funding statewide. Requires implementing legislation. This amendment alone has no fiscal impact on government. If slot machines are authorized in Miami-Dade or Broward counties, governmental costs associated with additional gambling will increase by an unknown amount and local sales tax-related revenues will be reduced by \$5 million to \$8 million annually. If the Legislature also chooses to tax slot machine revenues, state tax revenues from Miami-Dade and Broward counties combined would range from \$200 million to \$500 million annually. ☐ YES ☐ NO	NO. 7 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 22 Patients' Right to Know About Adverse Medical Incidents Current Florida law restricts information available to patients related to investigations of adverse medical incidents, such as medical malpractice. This amendment would give patients the right to review, upon request, records of health care facilities' or providers' adverse medical incidents, including those which could cause injury or death. Provides that patients' identities should not be disclosed. The direct financial impact this amendment will have on state and local government revenues and expenditures cannot be determined, but is expected to be minimal. State agencies will incur some additional costs to comply with public records requirements of the amendment, but these costs will be generally offset by fees charged to the persons requesting the information. ☐ YES ☐ NO	CHARTER AMENDMENT 2 AMENDMENT AND TRANSFER OF PREAMBLE Shall the Preamble be revised and incorporated into Section 1.1 of the Charter of Brevard County so as to give legal effect to the provisions of the Preamble? ☐ YES ☐ NO
NO. 5 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X Florida Minimum Wage Amendment This amendment creates a Florida minimum wage covering all employees in the state covered by the federal minimum wage. The state minimum wage will start at \$6.15 per hour six months after enactment, and thereafter be indexed to inflation each year. It provides for enforcement, including double damages for unpaid wages, attorney's fees, and fines by the state. It forbids retaliation against employees for exercising this right. The impact of this amendment on costs and revenues of state and local governments is expected to be minimal. ☐ YES ☐ NO	NO. 8 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 Public Protection from Repeated Medical Malpractice Current law allows medical doctors who have committed repeated malpractice to be licensed to practice medicine in Florida. This amendment prohibits medical doctors who have been found to have committed three or more incidents of medical malpractice from being licensed to practice medicine in Florida. The direct financial impact on state and local governments resulting from the proposed initiative would be minimal. There will likely be additional costs to the state of less than \$1 million per year, but these costs will be offset by licensure fees. ☐ YES ☐ NO	CHARTER AMENDMENT 3 TRUTH IN TAXATION Shall the Brevard County Charter be amended to require publication of notice of disclosure of the percentage of tax increase in any year in which the Board of County Commissioners tentatively adopts a property tax millage rate in excess of the roll-back rate computed pursuant to Florida Statutes? ☐ YES ☐ NO
NO. 6 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 19 Repeal of High Speed Rail Amendment This amendment repeals an amendment in the Florida Constitution that requires the Legislature, the Cabinet and the Governor to proceed with the development and operation of a high speed ground transportation system by the state and/or by a private entity. The probable financial impact of passage of this amendment is a state cost savings ranging from \$20 billion to \$25 billion over the next 30 years. This estimate assumes the repeal of associated laws, the use of state bonds to finance construction, and could be reduced by federal or private sector funding. ☐ YES ☐ NO	BREVARD COUNTY REFERENDUM 1 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTIONS FOR NEW BUSINESSES AND EXPANSIONS OF EXISTING BUSINESSES Shall the Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County continue to be authorized to grant, pursuant to Section 3, Article VII of the State Constitution, property tax exemptions to new businesses and expansions of existing businesses? ☐ YES - FOR AUTHORITY TO GRANT EXEMPTIONS ☐ NO - AGAINST AUTHORITY TO GRANT EXEMPTIONS	
	REFERENDUM 2 ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LAND AND WATER AREAS BOND REFERENDUM Shall Brevard County issue bonds to finance the acquisition, improvement and maintenance of environmentally endangered land and water areas for the protection of habitat, public open space, and water resources, and for providing passive recreational opportunities, provided the bonds do not exceed Sixty Million Dollars (\$60,000,000) bearing interest not exceeding the maximum legal rate and maturing in twenty (20) years payable from the levy of ad valorem taxes not exceeding .2085 mills? ☐ FOR THE BONDS ☐ AGAINST THE BONDS	
	CHARTER AMENDMENT 1 ADEQUATE TIME FOR CHARTER REVIEW Shall Section 7.4 of the Brevard County Charter be amended to increase the interval between appointment of regular Charter Review Commissions from six years to eight years, and to increase the time allowed for such review from one year to eighteen months? ☐ YES FOR APPROVAL ☐ NO FOR REJECTION	

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT