

**OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT
BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

- **TO VOTE, COMPLETELY FILL IN THE OVAL ● NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE.**
- Use a black or blue pen (not red) or a black pencil to mark your ballot.
- If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.
- To vote for a candidate whose name is not printed on the ballot, fill in the oval, and write in the candidate's name on the blank line provided for a write-in candidate.

| PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT | NONPARTISAN | PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS OR OTHER PUBLIC MEASURES |
|--|---|---|
| (Vote for One) | Shall Judge David A. Monaco of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? | <p align="center">NO. 2 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE IV, SECTION 10 ARTICLE XI, SECTION 5</p> <p align="center">Constitutional Amendments Proposed by Initiative</p> <p>Proposing amendments to the State Constitution to require the sponsor of a constitutional amendment proposed by citizen initiative to file the initiative petition with the Secretary of State by February 1 of the year of a general election in order to have the measure submitted to the electors for approval or rejection at the following November's general election, and to require the Florida Supreme Court to render an advisory opinion addressing the validity of an initiative petition by April 1 of the year in which the amendment is to be submitted to the electors.</p> <p align="center"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <input type="radio"/> George W. Bush Dick Cheney REP | <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | |
| <input type="radio"/> John F. Kerry John Edwards DEM | Shall Judge Earle W. Peterson, Jr. of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? | |
| <input type="radio"/> Michael A. Peroutka Chuck Baldwin CPF | <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | |
| <input type="radio"/> Michael Badnarik Richard V. Campagna LIB | Shall Judge Winifred J. Sharp of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? | |
| <input type="radio"/> David Cobb Patricia LaMarche GRE | <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | |
| <input type="radio"/> James Harris Margaret Trowe SWP | Shall Judge Vincent G. Torpy, Jr. of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? | |
| <input type="radio"/> Walter F. Brown Mary Alice Herbert SPF | <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | |
| <input type="radio"/> Ralph Nader Peter Miguel Camejo REF | | |
| | CITY OF TITUSVILLE | <p align="center">NO. 3 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE I, SECTION 26</p> <p align="center">The Medical Liability Claimant's Compensation Amendment</p> <p>Proposes to amend the State Constitution to provide that an injured claimant who enters into a contingency fee agreement with an attorney in a claim for medical liability is entitled to no less than 70% of the first \$250,000.00 in all damages received by the claimant, and 90% of damages in excess of \$250,000.00, exclusive of reasonable and customary costs and regardless of the number of defendants. This amendment is intended to be self-executing.</p> <p>The direct financial impact this amendment will have on state and local government revenues and expenditures cannot be determined.</p> <p align="center"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| CONGRESSIONAL | COUNCIL MEMBER, SEAT 1 (Vote for One) | |
| UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for One) | <input type="radio"/> Polly Phillips <input type="radio"/> Paul Secor | |
| <input type="radio"/> Mel Martinez REP <input type="radio"/> Betty Castor DEM <input type="radio"/> Dennis F. Bradley VET <input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in | COUNCIL MEMBER, SEAT 2 (Vote for One) | |
| LEGISLATIVE | <input type="radio"/> Herman Cole <input type="radio"/> Jim Tulley | |
| STATE REPRESENTATIVE 32ND HOUSE DISTRICT (Vote for One) | MAYOR, SEAT 4 (Vote for One) | <p align="center">NO. 4 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 19</p> <p align="center">Authorizes Miami-Dade and Broward County Voters to Approve Slot Machines in Paramutuel Facilities</p> <p>Authorizes Miami-Dade and Broward Counties to hold referenda on whether to authorize slot machines in existing, licensed parimutuel facilities (thoroughbred and harness racing, greyhound racing, and jai alai) that have conducted live racing or games in that county during each of the last two calendar years before effective date of this amendment. The Legislature may tax slot machine revenues, and any such taxes must supplement public education funding statewide. Requires implementing legislation.</p> <p>This amendment alone has no fiscal impact on government. If slot machines are authorized in Miami-Dade or Broward counties, governmental costs associated with additional gambling will increase by an unknown amount and local sales tax-related revenues will be reduced by \$5 million to \$8 million annually. If the Legislature also chooses to tax slot machine revenues, state tax revenues from Miami-Dade and Broward counties combined would range from \$200 million to \$500 million annually.</p> <p align="center"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <input type="radio"/> Bob Allen REP <input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in | <input type="radio"/> Ron Swank <input type="radio"/> Anita "Pinky" Yount | |
| COUNTY | PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS OR OTHER PUBLIC MEASURES | |
| SHERIFF (Vote for One) | NO. 1 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 22 | |
| <input type="radio"/> Jack Parker REP <input type="radio"/> Bob Sarver DEM <input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in | Article X Miscellaneous | |
| PROPERTY APPRAISER (Vote for One) | Section 22. Parental notice of termination of a minor's pregnancy. The legislature shall not limit or deny the privacy right guaranteed to a minor under the United States Constitution as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court. Notwithstanding a minor's right of privacy provided in Section 23 of Article I, the Legislature is authorized to require by general law for notification to a parent or guardian of a minor before the termination of the minor's pregnancy. The Legislature shall provide exceptions to such requirement for notification and shall create a process for judicial waiver of the notification. | |
| <input type="radio"/> Jim Ford REP <input type="radio"/> Penny Farrar DEM | <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | |
| TAX COLLECTOR (Vote for One) | | |
| <input type="radio"/> Joan Needelman REP <input type="radio"/> Rod Northcutt DEM | | |
| NONPARTISAN | | |
| SUPREME COURT | | |
| Shall Justice Kenneth B. Bell of the Supreme Court be retained in office? | | |
| <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | | |
| Shall Justice Raoul G. Cantero, III of the Supreme Court be retained in office? | | |
| <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | | |
| DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL | | |
| Shall Judge Jacqueline R. Griffin of the Fifth District Court of Appeal be retained in office? | | |
| <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | | |

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT

| PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS OR OTHER PUBLIC MEASURES | BREVARD COUNTY | CITY OF TITUSVILLE |
|---|---|--|
| <p align="center">NO. 5 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X</p> <p align="center">Florida Minimum Wage Amendment</p> <p>This amendment creates a Florida minimum wage covering all employees in the state covered by the federal minimum wage. The state minimum wage will start at \$6.15 per hour six months after enactment, and thereafter be indexed to inflation each year. It provides for enforcement, including double damages for unpaid wages, attorney's fees, and fines by the state. It forbids retaliation against employees for exercising this right.</p> <p>The impact of this amendment on costs and revenues of state and local governments is expected to be minimal.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">REFERENDUM 1 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTIONS FOR NEW BUSINESSES AND EXPANSIONS OF EXISTING BUSINESSES</p> <p>Shall the Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County continue to be authorized to grant, pursuant to Section 3, Article VII of the State Constitution, property tax exemptions to new businesses and expansions of existing businesses?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES - FOR AUTHORITY TO GRANT EXEMPTIONS</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO - AGAINST AUTHORITY TO GRANT EXEMPTIONS</p> | <p align="center">REFERENDUM 2 ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO FINANCE THE PURCHASE OF PROPERTY ON THE INDIAN RIVER FOR PUBLIC USE</p> <p>Shall the City of Titusville be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in a principal amount not to exceed ten million three hundred thirty-five thousand dollars, maturing in 20 years, bearing interest not exceeding the maximum legal rate and payable from a levy of ad valorem taxes on all taxable property at a rate not to exceed .5930 mills, for financing the purchase, acquisition and improvement of property including park and recreational uses on the Indian River within the City of Titusville for public use?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> FOR BONDS <input type="radio"/> AGAINST BONDS</p> |
| <p align="center">NO. 6 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 19</p> <p align="center">Repeal of High Speed Rail Amendment</p> <p>This amendment repeals an amendment in the Florida Constitution that requires the Legislature, the Cabinet and the Governor to proceed with the development and operation of a high speed ground transportation system by the state and/or by a private entity.</p> <p>The probable financial impact of passage of this amendment is a state cost savings ranging from \$20 billion to \$25 billion over the next 30 years. This estimate assumes the repeal of associated laws, the use of state bonds to finance construction, and could be reduced by federal or private sector funding.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">REFERENDUM 2 ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LAND AND WATER AREAS BOND REFERENDUM</p> <p>Shall Brevard County issue bonds to finance the acquisition, improvement and maintenance of environmentally endangered land and water areas for the protection of habitat, public open space, and water resources, and for providing passive recreational opportunities, provided the bonds do not exceed Sixty Million Dollars (\$60,000,000) bearing interest not exceeding the maximum legal rate and maturing in twenty (20) years payable from the levy of ad valorem taxes not exceeding .2085 mills?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> FOR THE BONDS <input type="radio"/> AGAINST THE BONDS</p> | |
| <p align="center">NO. 7 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 22</p> <p align="center">Patients' Right to Know About Adverse Medical Incidents</p> <p>Current Florida law restricts information available to patients related to investigations of adverse medical incidents, such as medical malpractice. This amendment would give patients the right to review, upon request, records of health care facilities' or providers' adverse medical incidents, including those which could cause injury or death. Provides that patients' identities should not be disclosed.</p> <p>The direct financial impact this amendment will have on state and local government revenues and expenditures cannot be determined, but is expected to be minimal. State agencies will incur some additional costs to comply with public records requirements of the amendment, but these costs will be generally offset by fees charged to the persons requesting the information.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">CHARTER AMENDMENT 1 ADEQUATE TIME FOR CHARTER REVIEW</p> <p>Shall Section 7.4 of the Brevard County Charter be amended to increase the interval between appointment of regular Charter Review Commissions from six years to eight years, and to increase the time allowed for such review from one year to eighteen months?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES FOR APPROVAL <input type="radio"/> NO FOR REJECTION</p> | |
| <p align="center">NO. 7 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 22</p> <p align="center">Patients' Right to Know About Adverse Medical Incidents</p> <p>Current Florida law restricts information available to patients related to investigations of adverse medical incidents, such as medical malpractice. This amendment would give patients the right to review, upon request, records of health care facilities' or providers' adverse medical incidents, including those which could cause injury or death. Provides that patients' identities should not be disclosed.</p> <p>The direct financial impact this amendment will have on state and local government revenues and expenditures cannot be determined, but is expected to be minimal. State agencies will incur some additional costs to comply with public records requirements of the amendment, but these costs will be generally offset by fees charged to the persons requesting the information.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">CHARTER AMENDMENT 2 AMENDMENT AND TRANSFER OF PREAMBLE</p> <p>Shall the Preamble be revised and incorporated into Section 1.1 of the Charter of Brevard County so as to give legal effect to the provisions of the Preamble?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | |
| <p align="center">NO. 8 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE X, SECTION 20</p> <p align="center">Public Protection from Repeated Medical Malpractice</p> <p>Current law allows medical doctors who have committed repeated malpractice to be licensed to practice medicine in Florida. This amendment prohibits medical doctors who have been found to have committed three or more incidents of medical malpractice from being licensed to practice medicine in Florida.</p> <p>The direct financial impact on state and local governments resulting from the proposed initiative would be minimal. There will likely be additional costs to the state of less than \$1 million per year, but these costs will be offset by licensure fees.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">CITY OF TITUSVILLE</p> <p align="center">REFERENDUM 1 CITY OF TITUSVILLE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTION</p> <p>Shall the City Council of the City of Titusville, Florida be authorized to continue to grant, pursuant to S. 3, Art. VII of the State Constitution, property tax exemptions to new businesses and expansions of existing businesses?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES - FOR AUTHORITY TO CONTINUE TO GRANT EXEMPTIONS <input type="radio"/> NO - AGAINST AUTHORITY TO GRANT EXEMPTIONS</p> | |
| | | |

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT